

4 Myths About Open Source We Should Put to Rest

By *srlinuxx*

Created 12/03/2013 - 5:48pm

Submitted by srlinuxx on Tuesday 12th of March 2013 05:48:56 PM Filed under [OSS](#) [1]

OSS is still often derided as inferior in quality, security, and longevity in comparison to proprietary software when there is plenty of evidence to the contrary. Here are 4 concerns that still persist about OSS, and why they should officially be labeled myths:

Myth 1: Open source is less secure than proprietary software

In short, licensing models have nothing to do with security. If anything, OSS allows for development teams to rapidly address any security issues, whereas proprietary systems must rely on the software vendor to get around to issuing an update or patch.

[full story](#) [2]

[OSS](#)

Source URL: <http://www.tuxmachines.org/node/59817>

Links:

[1] <http://www.tuxmachines.org/taxonomy/term/72>

[2] <http://www.wired.com/insights/2013/03/4-myths-about-open-source-to-put-to-rest/>